

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/371,972 08/10/1999		/10/1999	KONSTANTINE I. IOURCHA	3594-US	9872	
7	7590	05/24/2002				
Susan Yee, E			EXAMINER			
CARR & FER 2225 E. Baysh		JP	BRIER, JEFFERY A			
Suite 200 PALO ALTO, CA 94303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2672	2672	
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Nu

- ,•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	•	09/371,972	IOURCHA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Jeffery A. Brier	2672				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		e correspondence address				
Period fo		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
THE - Extended - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I - earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will; by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 in						
2a) <u></u>	,	nis action is non-final.					
3)□ Dianasiti	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the ments is , 453 O.G. 213.				
•	i on of Claims Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 23-29</u> is/are pending in the	application					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WII ITOTT CONSIDERATION.					
5)∐ e)⊠	.,,——						
7) —	6) Claim(s) 1-18 and 23-29 is/are rejected.						
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ar election requirement					
·-	ion Papers	or cicotion requirement.					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	ation No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmen	•						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C Datent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/371,972

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The 03/25/2002 amendment amended claims 1-3, 8 and 15 and added new claims 28 and 29. These amendments have been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-13, 15-18, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the book "Computer Graphics Principles and Practice" by Foley et al.

For claims 1,2,4-6, 8-11, 13, 15-18 and 28:

These claims claim the method performed by Gouraud shading which is described at pages 736-737. Foley describes determining both luminance and color values by the use of Gouraud shading. Pages 737 and figure 15.23 clearly shows applicants interpolation method. Foley's method is performed on a computer which corresponds to the apparatus of claim 15 for performing the functions of claim 15.

For claims 3 and 29:

These claims claim performing perspective interpolation. This is taught by the z-buffering technique described by Foley at pages 668-672.

For claim 12:

Application/Control Number: 09/371,972

Art Unit: 2672

This claim claims using depth values of the first and second points to determine the interior point. This is taught by the z-buffering technique described by Foley at pages 668-672. Figure 15.23 clearly shows applicants claim.

4. Claims 1, 3-18, 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spackman, U.S. Patent No. 5,739,818.

Spackman describes interpolation of texture data and specifically describes perspective interpolation. Referring to figure 3 and the written description it is seen that Spackman interpolates points on the edge of a primitive from the vertex's data and determines by interpolation points on the scan line. The interpolation is performed with the X, Y, texture and Z values, thus, performing perspective interpolation.

For claims 5, 6 and 24:

Texture data includes color data and luminance data.

For claim 14:

The plurality of agents is inherent to Spackman. Each part of Spackman that enables the equations and that receives vertex data is the claimed agent. The part that feeds the vertex data to the interpolation equations is an arbiter. The part that sends calculated results that are reused in the interpolation equation is the router.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-18 and 23-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

Application/Control Number: 09/371,972

Art Unit: 2672

the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (703) 305-4723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

> Jeffery A Brier **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2672